E24-026

#### **INFORMATION**

STATE OF CONNECTICUT

_					
	lion	000	ition	da	to

JD-CR-71 Rev. 10-23

Police Case number

2200446322

SUPERIOR COURT

Agency name
Agency name
Connecticut State Police-EDMCS

Seized property inventory number
32596

		gation ar							Res	idence	(Town) of	accused	Docke	et nur	mber		
		emiah	or accus	seu)							ras Cov		Book	ot man			
	t, Jer	emian							00	ppe	a3 001	Date of bi	rth				
ddress	2 mal C	twoot And	. D									03/21/		Th	e undersi	gned Pro	secuting
o be held		street, Apt	L. D						Ge	ographi	cal	Court date		Au	thority of	the State	of
o be neid Iorwid		NN)							are	a	21	Oourt du		Co	nnecticu	t charges	that:
		commit the of	¥	ε.					nur	nber	<u> </u>		Continued	to	Purpose	Reason	
					,								Continuou		Larposs		
_	-	to Comm	or abou						In violat	on of C	Conoral Sta	tute number					
t (Town)					e) 126/20	าวว			53a-4			tate namber					
lontv		I commit the of			120120	)			JJ4-1	0/00	u-0-ru		-				
		to Commit the or			Loine	v to 2	Minor										
_			or abou			y to a	I WIIIIOI		In violat	on of (	Seneral Sta	tute number					
t (Town)					e) 126/20	າວວ			53a-4			itate namber					
lontv					120120	)			JJa-4	.0100	<b>4</b> I						
ount Thi	ree — L	id commit the	oπense	OT:													
			an ab a	- 1 /D-	1-1				In violat	ion of (	Conoral Sta	itute number					
t (Town)		On	or abo	ut (Dai	e)				III VIOIAI	1011 01 0	delleral Ote	itate namber					
						- 24 A					Data						
¬ See	others	sheet for Sign	ned (Pr	osecut	ing Auth	ority)			_		Date S	46.7					
∟ addi	tional	counts	ne	LO	ay	m	eu	Y	Zu		0-1						
ourt	Act	ion															
efendant	advise	d of rights befo	re plea				Amount o	f bond			Surety						%
udge)				(Date	)											L	Cash
Attorn	еу	Public defer	nder G	uardia	n				Bond c	hange					Election	1	(Date)
_															□ ст	☐ JY	
Count		Plea date		Plea	Ple	a witho	drawn	Verd		Fin	e	Remit			Additional	disposition	
Jount		riea date		i ica	Date	9	New plea	findi	ng								
									\$		\$						
1																	
•																	
									\$		\$						
									Ψ		φ						
2																	
									\$		\$						
3																	
·																	
Dat	е						Ot	her C	ourt A	ction				_		Ju	dge
eceipt n	umber	Cost			Te	Bond inf	formation										
.Josept II	21,1001		MP [	NCI	lr.		d forfeited	Г	Forfe	eiture v	acated	Forfeitur	e vacated ar	nd bo	nd reinstated	i	
					le one		ram fee - rec	ceint nu			Circle one	Probation	fee - receipt	numl	per		Circle one
nnlicatio	n fee - I										0.0 00	1 1000000					
pplicatio	n fee - I	eceipt number		i	I Q	if pai		ocipt iie		ľ	WIQ	if paid					wic

#### **INFORMATION**

#### STATE OF CONNECTICUT SUPERIOR COURT

Dis	posi	tion	dat	te
-10	P		-	

JD-CR-71	Rev.	10-23
Police Cas	se nun	nber

2200446322

Agency name

**Connecticut State Police-EDMCS** 

Agency number 32596

Seized property inventory number

<b>Arrest</b>	Wa	ırrant
---------------	----	--------

Geographical area number

21

State of Connecticut v. Peikert, Jeremiah

To: Any Proper Officer of the State of Connecticut

The court has found probable cause to believe that an offense has been committed and that the accused listed above

committed it. The Select all that a	erefore, by the Authority of the State of Connecticut, you are commanded to arrest pply)	the accused listed above.
☐ A. The a	accused is ordered to be brought before a clerk or assistant clerk of the Superior Co	urt.
☐ B. The a	accused is not entitled to bail.	
or as com area the ` C. Bail s  D. Bail s of th	HEAD ARA NO	leged to have been said geographical
	gred (Judge of the Superior Opur) Date Name of Judge (Print or typ	
	11011. John III	. INCWSOLI
Return on A	rrest Warrant	
Geographical area number /0	Town of Montylue Offoz/29	
	ty of this warrant, I arrested the accused listed above and read the same in the hearing of the ourt for examination.	e accused; and have the
Attest (Officer's signat	ure and Department)	
Date	Other Court action	Judge

For information on ADA accommodations, contact the Centralized ADA Office at 860-706-5310 or go to: www.jud.ct.gov/ADA/

### State of Connecticut **DIVISION OF CRIMINAL JUSTICE**

#### OFFICE OF THE STATE'S ATTORNEY JUDICIAL DISTRICT OF NEW LONDON

PAUL J. NARDUCCI STATE'S ATTORNEY 70 HUNTINGTON STREET NEW LONDON, CT. 06320 TELEPHONE: (860) 443-2835 FAX (860) 442-3019

TO:	Detective Christopher Hubbard, Connecticut State Police
FROM:	Inspector Robert Pickett
RE:	Jeremiah Peikert (DOB 03/21/94)
CHARGE:	Conspiracy to Commit Murder 53a-48/53a-54a, Conspiracy to Commit Risk of Injury to a Minor 53a-48/53-21
DATE:	03/11/2024
	ched arrest warrant and or report has been reviewed for extradition e following guidelines have been authorized.
EXTRADITION	V <b>:</b>
	Limited to Connecticut Only
	Limited to New England States(Includes New York and New Jersey)
	Continental United StatesXX
It is you aforementioned	ur responsibility to have the warrant entered into NCIC. The request for extradition is authorized for a period of five years, unless

If you have any further questions, or any special circumstances arise, please do not hesitate to contact me.

modified by this office. It is requested that you notify this office upon the expiration of the five year period. In addition, please keep this notice in your case file for future reference.

For Court Use Only						
Supporting Affidavits sealed						
Yes	No					

D-CR-64b Rev. 8-10				JPERIOR COURT				
:G.S. § 54-2a r. Bk. Sec. 36-1, 36-2, 36-3	www.jud.ct.gov	Yes No						
Police Case number	Sallar EDMOS	Agency n 32596	umber					
2200446322	Connecticut State F	Residence (Town) of a	occused	Court to be	held at (Town)	T		
Name (Last, First, Middle Initial) Peikert, Jeremiah		Copperas Cov		Norwick		Geographical Area number	21	
Application For Arrest Warrar	nt							
To: A Judge of the Superior Court								
Figure 1 Figure 1 Figure 1 Figure 1 Figure 2 Fi	warrant for the arrest Affidavit(s) Att	ached.				e facts		
3-8-24 Signed (Prosecu	uting authority Chale	Jem	Type/print name	of prosecution	ng authority	ERLYN	2AU	
Affidavit						l		
Γhe undersigned affiant, being duly sv	vorn, deposes and sa	ys:						
1. That this affiant, Detective Chriemergency Services and Public Pr 2008. This affiant presently holds Squad at Troop E in Montville, CT of said department. The following investigation, as well as, the informagacity.	otection, Division of the rank of Detective. At all times ment facts and circumsta	of State Police, he and is assignationed herein, thances are stated	naving beer ed to the Ea is affiant w from perso	n so emp astern D was actin onal kno	oloyed sin istrict Ma ig as an of wledge, o	ce October jor Crimes ficial mem bservation	10, ber and	
2. That, this investigation involved DOB 06/02/1993), a 10 year-old ju (Victim #3, DOB 11/23/2020), as victim's names and addresses will General Statutes. The accused, Jethis affidavit.	avenile female (Vict well as, a 23 year-ol be kept confidential	tim #2, DOB 12 ld adult male (V l, in accordance	2/28/2011), victim #4, I with section	a 1 year DOB 02/ on 54-86	r-old juver /27/1999) se of the C	nile female That the Connecticut	e t	
3. That, on 10/19/2022, at approximately 9:00 am, this affiant was assigned by Eastern District Major Crimes Supervisor, Sergeant Robert Scavello #167, to investigate a suspicious incident at Corrigan Correctional Center (CC) in Montville, CT. A prior inmate of Corrigan CC, Witness #1, who is currently incarcerated at MacDougall-Walker Correctional Institution (CI) in Suffield, CT, had reportedly sent a handwritten letter to Victim #1. This letter detailed a possible "murder for hire" plot, with Victim #1, her children, Victim #2 and Victim #3, as well as, her boyfriend, Victim #4, as the intended targets. Corrigan Inmate Joshua Peikert (DOB 06/11/1992) had planned this murder plot with Witness #1 when they were cell mates at Corrigan CC. Joshua Peikert had allegedly hired Witness #1 to find a "hitman" to murder the above listed victims. Upon receiving this letter, Victim #1 immediately contacted the Groton City Police Department, who in turn contacted the State Police to investigate, after learning the origin location of the incident.								
Date 0.77	Signed	(Affiant)	0/1/1	#778				
Subscribed and among to before the an	(Data) Signed	(Judge/Clerk, Commiss	cioner of Superior	Court Note	ny Public)			
Subscribed and sworn to before me on	(Date)	Todago, Olork, Commiss	.c.ror or ouperior	30011, 11010	411			

#### **Finding**

The foregoing Application for an arrest warrant, and affidavit(s) attached to said Application, having been submitted to and considered by the undersigned, the undersigned finds from said affidavit(s) that there is probable cause to believe that an offense has been committed and that the accused committed it and, therefore, that probable cause exists for the issuance of a warrant for the arrest of the above-named accused.

looddilloo ol di li dillaliti loi	1		
Date and Signed at (City or town Signature	On (Date)  MAR 0 8 2024	Signed (Judge/Judge Tripl/Referee)	Name of Judge/Judge Trial Referee Hon. John M. Newson

JD-CR-64a Rev. 8-10 C.G.S. § 54-2a Pr. Bk. Sec. 36-1, 36-2, 36-3

### STATE OF CONNECTICUT SUPERIOR COURT

www.jud.ct.gov

Name (Last, First, Middle Initial)	Residence (Town) of accused	Court to be held at (Town)	Geographical	
Peikert, Jeremiah	Copperas Cove, TX	Norwich	Area number	21

#### **Affidavit - Continued**

4. That, at approximately 11:00 a.m., this affiant met Groton City Police Detective Daniel Grimm and Department of Correction (DOC) Counselor Supervisor (CSV) Brian Stanley at the MacDougall-Walker CI, to assist in interviewing Witness #1. In an electronic written statement, Witness #1 provided the following information regarding the incident in question.

"My name is Witness #1 and I am currently an inmate at MacDougall Correctional Institute in Suffield, CT. A few months ago, I was incarcerated at Corrigan Correctional Institute in Uncasville, CT. My cell mate at the time was Josh Peikert in cell #H226. After only a short period of time of being cell mates with Josh, he disclosed to me that he wanted Victim's #1, #2, #3 and #4 murdered. We were discussing previous illegal activity at the time and I told Josh that I knew a few people that could have that taken care of for him. I told Josh that it would cost approximately 10 thousand dollars a head for each person killed. When I told this to Josh, he said that it was doable and he told me that he would pay half up front and half after the job was done. Josh wrote down on a piece of paper the victim's address, where the victims would sleep, as well as, the location of a spare key in the outdoor electrical outlet to gain access to the home. At no time did I have any intention of hiring a hitman or committing any violence toward Victim's #1, #2, #3 or #4, however, I told Josh that he would have to pay me \$500 for a "finder's fee." Also, at no time did I contact anyone to commit violence against the victims, nor anyone else. I asked for \$250 up front and \$250 after the job was done. A short time after this conversation with Josh, I was transferred a total of \$250 on two separate occasions. This money was transferred to my tablet through Josh's brother. The first installment was \$100 and the second was \$150. A short time after, Josh was bailed out and we spoke over a cell phone about the "construction job" and "materials", which was code for the hit. Josh was reincarcerated at Corrigan approximately two weeks later and I ran into him in the rec area of D block. Josh asked me why nothing had happened yet and I played dumb, acting as if his brother had messed up with the transfer of money. I saw Josh a few other times while still at Corrigan and "blew smoke up his ass" that there was actually a hit out. After about a week, I was assaulted by an inmate and while in the infirmary, I wrote a letter to Victim #1 because I am concerned for her safety and the safety of her children. A short time later, I was transferred to MacDougall. On the way to MacDougall, I was informed by another inmate that Josh had put a hit out for me."

- 5. That, while speaking with Witness #1, he provided this affiant with a handwritten letter from Joshua Peikert. Among other things, this letter detailed the location of Victim #1's home, the layout of the residence and the location of a spare key to gain access. At the bottom of the letter, the word "Job" is written and it is signed by "Joshua Peikert." The information written in this letter by Joshua Peikert concerning the address, layout of the home, occupant's sleeping arrangements and the location of a spare key, was later confirmed to be true and accurate by Victim #1.
- 6. That, on 11/01/2022, at approximately 3:00 p.m., Detective Lloyd Wright #959 provided this affiant with a copy of Witness #1's Jpay Inmate Summary (inmate financial account), which he had received from the CT Department of Correction (DOC). Upon reviewing Witness #1's Jpay account, two transactions are of note in this particular investigation. On 07/19/2022, an individual named Jeremiah Peikert deposited \$100.00 into Witness

	(This is pa	age <b>2</b> of a <b>5</b> page Affidavit.)			1101	
	Date			Signed (Affiant)	1 770	
		03/01/24		DET. CPI	W/C#33F	
		Subscribed and sworn to before r	ne on (Date)	Signed (Judge/Clerk, Commis	ssioner of Superior Court, Notary Public)	,
Ju	Jurat	3/i/29		(St. 704	MILL 4/67	
0 1	Reviewed (	(Prosecutorial Official)	Date	Reviewed (Judge/Judge Trial	Referee)	MATR 0 8 2024
37 r	ures	a arey ollis	3-8-2	W V	MILLOW	MAR U O ZUZT
				V	100	

JD-CR-64a Rev. 8-10 C.G.S. § 54-2a Pr. Bk. Sec. 36-1, 36-2, 36-3

# STATE OF CONNECTICUT SUPERIOR COURT

www.jud.ct.gov

Name (Last, First, Middle Initial)	Residence (Town) of accused	Court to be held at (Town)	Geographical	
Peikert, Jeremiah	Copperas Cove, TX	Norwich	Area number	21

#### **Affidavit - Continued**

#1's account via credit card. On 07/25/2022, this same individual deposited \$150.00 into Witness #1's account, also via credit card. The total amount of money transferred into Witness #1's Jpay account corroborates the claims Witness #1 made during his interview. Also worth mentioning, is that it was later confirmed that Jeremiah Peikert is Joshua Peikert's younger brother and a member of the armed forces.

- 7. That, upon obtaining signed search and seizure warrants from the New London Superior Court for both Witness #1's and Inmate Joshua Peikert's DOC phone call conversations in July of 2022, and later reviewing these calls; three specific phone conversations initiated by Witness #1 are of note in this investigation. On 07/22/2022 and 07/26/2022, Witness #1 places three (3) calls to the telephone number, (573) 481-5371. A query of this number within the law enforcement search engine, TLOxp, revealed that the number is assigned to Jeremiah R. Peikert (DOB 03/21/1994) of 211 N. 3rd Street, Apt. B, Copperas Cove, TX. Further investigation revealed that Jeremiah Peikert is Joshua Peikert's brother and a member of the U.S. Army, currently stationed at Fort Cavazos, TX.
- 8. That, on 07/22/2022, at approximately 5:23 p.m. and again at 5:49 p.m., Witness #1 calls an individual identified as, Jeremiah Peikert and the two discuss the transfer of funds from Jeremiah Peikert's account to Witness #1's Jpay inmate financial account. The two continue to discuss that Jeremiah Peikert had already transferred \$100 into Witness #1's account, however, that Peikert was now having difficulty transferring an additional \$150 into the account. Witness #1 then asks Jeremiah Peikert what he does for work, to which Peikert replies, "I'm in the military." Witness #1 and Jeremiah Peikert continue to discuss Peikert's brothers and the fact that "Josh" is the only one in Connecticut. Jeremiah Peikert goes on to discuss his siblings and the fact that he lives, "Not far from Austin." [Texas].
- 9. That, on 07/26/2022, at approximately 10:51 a.m., Witness #1 again calls Jeremiah Peikert. In this conversation, Witness #1 first asks if Jeremiah Peikert has a "Pen and paper handy," to which Peikert replies, "I sent the money." Witness #1 then advises Peikert that he received the money and again to get a pen and paper in order for him to write down a message to, "His brother," Josh Peikert. Witness #1 goes on to tell Jeremiah Peikert that the individual that was going to do the "job" for Josh Peikert went to Florida, where he was arrested after getting into a fight with a police officer. Witness #1 states that as a result, he does not know when this individual is going to get back. Witness #1 explains to Jeremiah Peikert that, "The good news is that the job is going to get done because the payment, the agreement went through; the bad news is it may take a little while to happen; maybe two weeks." Witness #1 informs Jeremiah Peikert that if Josh Peikert, "Goes back on the deal after the job has been done," that he still has, "That handwritten letter from him," and that this would not be good. Witness #1 states that he, "Got his materials that he put out money for," and that once the job is done, "I want the other half of the money." Witness #1 then reiterates to Jeremiah Peikert that if Josh Peikert, "Goes back on the agreement before the job is done; it's not gonna be a good thing."

	(This is pag	ge <b>3</b> of a <b>5</b> pag	ge Affidavit.)		,		1	111		
	Date	1			Signed (Af	fiant)	- 1/1/1	11 -	70	
		03/01/	24			DET. C.	P///V	T#3	38	
	-	Subscribed an	d sworn to before me o	n (Date)	Signed (Ju	dge/Clerk, Comn	nissionerof	Superior Cod	irt, Notary Public)	
	Jurat	3/1/2	24		. (	50)	121	Ma	#167	7
) (	Reviewed (F	Prosecutorial Offi	icial)	Date	Reviewed	(Judge Nidge Tri	al Referee)			MAR 0 8 2024
Uh	elesi	y are	Calys	3-8-24		AN	~	W		MAK U O ZUZ4

JD-CR-64a Rev. 8-10 C.G.S. § 54-2a Pr. Bk. Sec. 36-1, 36-2, 36-3

## STATE OF CONNECTICUT SUPERIOR COURT

www.jud.ct.gov

Name (Last, First, Middle Initial)	Residence (Town) of accused	Court to be held at (Town)	Geographical	
Peikert, Jeremiah	Copperas Cove, TX	Norwich	Area number	21

#### Affidavit - Continued

- 10. That, upon reviewing DOC phone calls made by Inmate Joshua Peikert while incarcerated at Corrigan CC, one (1) conversation is of note in this investigation. On 07/26/2022, at approximately 9:41 a.m., Inmate Joshua Peikert contacted his brother, Jeremiah Peikert. In this conversation, the two initially discuss the frequency of Witness #1's calls to Jeremiah and that Jeremiah, "Sent the money using my debit card so that he would stop fucking calling me all the time." During the conversation, the two also discuss the possibility of Victim #1 moving to Arizona and the distance from where Jeremiah Peikert lives in Texas to Arizona.
- 11. That, on 12/17/2023, Detective Justin Clachrie #516 and I traveled, via airline, to Austin, TX for a prearranged interview with Army Sergeant Jeremiah Peikert (DOB 03/21/1994). This interview was facilitated by Criminal Investigation Division (CID) Special Agent (SA) Andrew Maticka, who is currently assigned to the Central Texas Field Office aboard Fort Cavazos Military Base.
- 12. That, on 12/19/2023, at approximately 9:50 a.m., Detective Clachrie and I met with Sergeant Jeremiah Peikert at the CID Office at Fort Cavazos. In a private interview room equipped with audio and video recording, Sergeant Peikert proceeded to provide the following statement regarding his involvement in our investigation.

"Today, December 19, 2023, at about 09:50 AM, I went to the Army CID office at Fort Cavazos where I was requested for an interview. When I was requested to the CID office, I was not initially made aware the interview was with Connecticut State Police detectives.

During the interview, we discussed some money transfers from the summer of 2022 that I made to an inmate account at Corrigan Correctional facility in Connecticut. My brother, Joshua Peikert, was there at the time and he asked me to put money into another inmate's account. That inmate was Witness #1. During this time, I had phone conversations with Joshua and Witness #1. In total, I sent \$250 (over two transfers of \$100 and \$150) from my bank account into Witness #1's account at the correctional facility. During phone conversations I later had with Witness #1, we discussed the "construction job" and "materials" related to the job. During the interview, I was advised that Josh had made arrangements with Witness #1 to pay \$10,000 to have the Victim #1 and their kids killed.

I knew the "construction job" was not related to any type of actual construction. Instead, based on conversations I had with Josh and Witness #1 over the phone, I knew the "job" was related to having Victim #1 hurt. I was unaware of the specifics, but knew the money I sent was being paid to Witness #1 for his role in arranging to have Victim #1 hurt. I did not believe the kids were planned to be involved in this scheme. I initially did not want any involvement in this plan, and I reluctantly transferred the money. I eventually did because Josh and Witness #1 kept pressuring me to send the money for the "job." After sending the money, I was under the impression the "job" (Victim #1 being hurt) would be done in about two weeks. I don't recall any conversations that led me to know how Victim #1 would be hurt or killed. I had thoughts of notifying the police and/or Victim #1, but I never did, but know I should have.

	(This is pag	ge <b>4</b> of a <b>5</b> pa	ge Affidavit.)			// /	
	Date	/	/		Signed (Affiant)	1/1 1/2-0	
		03/01/	24		DET. CPI	// # JJP	
Jurat		Subscribed ar	nd sworn to before me or	n (Date)	Signed (Judge/Clerk, Commissione	r of Superior Court, No	tary Public)
		3/1/	24		(Sy) The	min	4/47
^	Reviewed (P	Prosecutorial Of	ficial)	Date	Reviewed (Judge Trial Referen	e)	MR# 0 8 2024
5	nevo	a w	in orly	3,0-24		MILLOW	MAR U O LUCT
				_		110000	

JD-CR-64a Rev. 8-10 C.G.S. § 54-2a Pr. Bk. Sec. 36-1, 36-2, 36-3

# STATE OF CONNECTICUT SUPERIOR COURT

www.jud.ct.gov

Name (Last, First, Middle Initial)	Residence (Town) of accused	Court to be held at (Town)	Geographical	
	Copperas Cove, TX	Norwich	Area number	21

#### **Affidavit - Continued**

The fact that Josh wanted Victim #1 hurt is not a total surprise, because Josh has displayed violent tendencies, even growing up. He is also manipulative, especially with me, which played a role in me cooperating. During our conversations he did mention not wanting Victim #1 or the kids in his life anymore and didn't want to see them. I did not immediately connect this with wanting them killed, but it makes sense now."

- 13. That, on 01/19/2023, at approximately 11:30 a.m., Detective Clachrie and this affiant attempted to interview Inmate Joshua Peikert, per his request, at Corrigan CC, in regard to the allegations made against him. After reading Inmate Peikert his Notice and Waiver of Rights form, he chose not to speak with us without his attorney present. Inmate Peikert was then permitted to contact his attorney, Peter Bartinik, of The Bartinik Law Firm in Groton, CT. During their phone conversation, Attorney Bartinik agreed to meet with Inmate Peikert, as well as, Detective Clachrie and this affiant, at Corrigan CC at 12:30 p.m. Upon his arrival to Corrigan CC, Attorney Bartinik spoke with Inmate Peikert in a private room, before exiting and explaining to Detective Clachrie and this affiant that Inmate Peikert requested to exercise his right not to speak with us about the allegations made against him.
- 14. That, based on the facts and circumstances contained within the affidavit, this affiant believes that probable cause exists and respectfully requests that an arrest warrant be issued for Jeremiah Peikert (DOB 03/21/1994), in violation of Connecticut General Statutes.
- 15. That, this arrest warrant application has not been presented to any other judge or magistrate.

Eme	
(This is page 5 of a 5 page Affidavit.)  Date  03 01 24	Signed (Affiant)  DET, CPIMA #338
Jurat Subscribed and sworn to before me on (Date)	Signed (Judge/Clerk, Commissionenof Superior Court, Notary Public)
Reviewed (Prosecutorial Official) Tegy 3-8-2	Reviewed Nugge Vivage Trial Referee MAR 0 8 2024

### **REQUEST FOR TRANSFER**

The undersigned, Assistant State's Attorney, hereby requests that if the attached arrest warrant is issued the case(s) be ordered transferred to the New London Judicial District Part "A" of the Superior Court upon Arraignment at G.A. 10 or G.A. 21.

State v. Jeremian Perkert	D.O.B. 3-21 -94
Police Dept. CSP-E	Case # 22-044
Reason for Request:	

Date of Request:

Assistant State's Attorney

Transfer of the above listed case(s) to the New London Judicial District is

Approved	
Denied	
Date: 3-8-24	Dustidian Judge Crimmal
	Presiding Judge, Criminal  New London Judicial District